



Peer Community In Evolutionary Biology

The other side of the evolution of heat tolerance: correlated responses in metabolism and life-history traits

Inês Fragata and **Pedro Simões**  based on peer reviews by **Marija Savić Veselinović** and 1 anonymous reviewer

Andres Mesas, Luis E. Castaneda (2022) Evolutionary responses of energy metabolism, development, and reproduction to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*. bioRxiv, ver. 4, peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Evolutionary Biology.

<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001v3>

Submitted: 08 February 2022, Recommended: 24 October 2022

Cite this recommendation as:

Fragata, I. and Simões, P. (2022) The other side of the evolution of heat tolerance: correlated responses in metabolism and life-history traits. *Peer Community in Evolutionary Biology*, 100155. <https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100155>

Published: 24 October 2022

Copyright: This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Understanding how species respond to environmental changes is becoming increasingly important in order to predict the future of biodiversity and species distributions under current global warming conditions (Rezende 2020; Bennett et al 2021). Two key factors to take into account in these predictions are the tolerance of organisms to heat stress and subsequently how they adapt to increasingly warmer temperatures. Coupled with this, one important factor that is often overlooked when addressing the evolution of thermal tolerance, is the correlated responses in traits that are important to fitness, such as life histories, behavior and the underlying metabolic processes.

The rate and intensity of the thermal stress are expected to be major factors in shaping the evolution of heat tolerance and correlated responses in other traits. For instance, lower rates of thermal stress are predicted to select for individuals with a slower metabolism (Santos et al 2012), whereas low metabolism is expected to lead to a lower reproductive rate (Dammhahn et al 2018). To quantify the importance of the rate and intensity of thermal stress on the evolutionary response of heat tolerance and correlated response in behavior, Mesas et al (2021) performed experimental evolution in *Drosophila subobscura* using selective regimes with slow or fast ramping protocols. Whereas both regimes showed increased heat tolerance with similar evolutionary rates, the correlated responses in thermal performance curves for locomotor behavior differed between selection regimes. These findings suggest that thermal rate and intensity may shape the

evolution of correlated responses in other traits, urging the need to understand possible correlated responses at relevant levels such as life history and metabolism.

In the present contribution, Mesas and Castañeda (2022) investigate whether the disparity in thermal performance curves observed in the previous experiment (Mesas et al 2021) could be explained by differences in metabolic energy production and consumption, and how this correlated with the reproductive output (fecundity and viability). Overall, the authors show some evidence for lowered enzyme activity and increased performance in life-history traits, particularly for the slow-ramping selected flies. Specifically, the authors observe a reduction in glucose metabolism and increased viability when evolving under slow ramping stress. Interestingly, both regimes show a general increase in fecundity, suggesting that adaptation to these higher temperatures is not costly (for reproduction) in the ancestral environment. The evidence for a somewhat lower metabolism in the slow-ramping lines suggests the evolution of a slow “pace of life”. The “pace of life” concept tries to bridge variation across several levels namely metabolism, physiology, behavior and life history, with low “pace of life” organisms presenting lower metabolic rates, later reproduction and higher longevity than fast “pace of life” organisms (Dammhahn et al 2018, Tuzun & Stocks 2022). As the authors state there is not a clear-cut association with the expectations of the pace of life hypothesis since there was evidence for increased reproductive output under both selection intensity regimes. This suggests that, given sufficient trait genetic variance, positively correlated responses may emerge during some stages of thermal evolution. As fecundity estimates in this study were focussed on early life, the possibility of a decrease in the cumulative reproductive output of the selected flies, even under benign conditions, cannot be excluded. This would help explain the apparent paradox of increased fecundity in selected lines. In this context, it would also be interesting to explore the variation in reproductive output at different temperatures, i.e to obtain thermal performance curves for life histories.

Mesas and Castañeda (2022) raise important questions to pursue in the future and contribute to the growing evidence that, in order to predict the distribution of ectothermic species under current global warming conditions, we need to expand beyond determining the physiological thermal limits of each organism (Parratt et al 2021). Ultimately, integrating metabolic, life-history and behavioral changes during evolution under different thermal stresses within a coherent framework is key to developing better predictions of temperature effects on natural populations.

References

Bennett, J.M., Sunday, J., Calosi, P. et al. The evolution of critical thermal limits of life on Earth. *Nat Commun* 12, 1198 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21263-8>

Dammhahn, M., Dingemanse, N.J., Niemelä, P.T. et al. Pace-of-life syndromes: a framework for the adaptive integration of behaviour, physiology and life history. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 72, 62 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2473-y>

Mesas, A, Jaramillo, A, Castañeda, LE. Experimental evolution on heat tolerance and thermal performance curves under contrasting thermal selection in *Drosophila subobscura*. *J Evol Biol* 34, 767– 778 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13777>

Mesas, A, Castañeda, LE Evolutionary responses of energy metabolism, development, and reproduction to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*. *bioRxiv*, 2022.02.03.479001, ver. 4 peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Evolutionary Biology. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001>

Parratt, S.R., Walsh, B.S., Metelmann, S. et al. Temperatures that sterilize males better match global species distributions than lethal temperatures. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 11, 481–484 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01047-0>

Santos, M, Castañeda, LE, Rezende, EL Keeping pace with climate change: what is wrong with the evolutionary potential of upper thermal limits? *Ecology and evolution*, 2(11), 2866-2880 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.100>

2/ece3.385

Tüzün, N, Stoks, R. A fast pace-of-life is traded off against a high thermal performance. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 289(1972), 20212414 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2414>

Rezende, EL, Bozinovic, F, Szilágyi, A, Santos, M. Predicting temperature mortality and selection in natural *Drosophila* populations. Science, 369(6508), 1242-1245 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9287>

Reviews

Evaluation round #2

DOI or URL of the preprint: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001v2>

Version of the preprint: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001>

Authors' reply, 28 September 2022

[Download author's reply](#)

Decision by **Inês Fragata** and **Pedro Simões**, posted 26 September 2022, validated 26 September 2022

(very) Minor changes

We thank the authors for the detailed revision of the first version of the paper. The reviewer has some few more comments that should be addressed, but we are happy to write a recommendation once the authors incorporate the final suggestions from the reviewer.

Reviewed by anonymous reviewer 1, 10 September 2022

The authors have done a good job of editing, revising and placing their work in a much broader context. Thank you. I have read the revised manuscript here and have made some suggestions that are not exhaustive. Please check them and revise them accordingly. The line numbers mentioned here are for the v2 document:

L29- *Drosophila subobscura* needs to be '*Drosophila subobscura*'

L114- delete 'associated'

L149- in a vial 'with'

L158- ends='ended'

L167-select='selected'

L170-171- Logistic to 'logistical'

L174- individuals='individual'

L214-215: The OD to 340nm was measured; *re-word. The meaning is not very clear.*

L217-218: triplicated=triplicate?

L227- change: counted daily instead of daily counted

L229- change: better to call accumulated fecundity as total fecundity? I will leave the decision to you? One reads better than the other and makes more biological sense.

L340- change- is a conspicuous environmental to *important abiotic variable that...*

L345- change to- *However the evolution of heat tolerance* was not associated...

L351-353- delete ". While several" and change to "cold habitats (REFS....) *and other studies have found.....*"

L354- delete on the other hand, and change to- "In line with our findings reported here,"

L358-359 - which would difficult the detection of change to: would be difficult to detect metabolic changes under.....

L360- change to- *A possible explanation could be that, our control and.....*

L361- change: which could hide to "which could override correlated responses"

L367- change:organismic=organismal?

L369- change:selection acts on enzymes= selection can act....?

L377- agree with= is concordant

L377- delete the second 'that' and add '*D. simulans* populations evolving..' after found... so it should read "*a study that found D. simulans populations evolving.....*"

L378- delete "*of D. simulans*"

L379- participation = involved in?

L382- withstand = survive the?

L388- delete both the 'a's in the sentence: 'a' fast 'a' slow

L389- delete ', but' so the sentence should read "metabolism at the cost...."

L392-393- A bit confused here: "the higher fecundity might be linked to their physiology as heat can drive higher offspring production, but it does not mean that greater numbers = higher quality? So, greater fecundity might not be better quality per se? Like you hint in lines 396-397 that fast-ramping individuals did not differ in egg to adult survival from the controls?" Can you clarify this or I could have mis-understood something!

L393- delete we can observe; change to: observing

L394- change: this difference was not significantly different to 'was not statistically significant'

L402- has=had

L404-406- ', we have..... (Castaneda et al. 2019)' "you say several works; but only one study is cited. Also, upper limit of thermal limit for other traits like fertility does not evolve in other studies (for e.g., van Heerwarden et al. 2021). Do you mean upper thermal limits for tolerance? or survival? (CT max)?" This final point has to be precise!

Evaluation round #1

DOI or URL of the preprint: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001>

Authors' reply, 03 August 2022

Manuscript: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.479001>

Major revisions

August 3, 2022

Dr. Inês Fragata

Dr. Pedro Simões

Editors

PCI Evolutionary Biology

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a revised version of our manuscript entitled “Evolutionary responses of energy metabolism, development, and reproduction to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*”. Below you will find a detailed list of the actions we have taken to improve the manuscript following the suggestions from the editors and reviewers.

We hope the manuscript will now clarify all of their comments. Each comment is in Calabri bold followed by our reply in italic text. Additionally, we have made some changes in the text for a better explanation of our work.

Sincerely,

Luis Castañeda

[Download author's reply](#)

[Download tracked changes file](#)

Decision by Inês Fragata and Pedro Simões, posted 25 April 2022, validated 25 April 2022

Major revisions

Thank you for submitting your study for recommendation in PCI Evol Biol. This is a very interesting paper on a quite relevant topic, the evolution of heat tolerance and correlated responses in metabolism and other relevant traits. A deeper understanding of the evolution of thermal responses is a fundamental question in the evolutionary biology of the XXI century. We have asked two reviewers to comment on your manuscript, that you can find attached below. While both are positive about the study, they ask for several revisions the most important of which include a better framing of the study within the existent literature, a re-structuring of the discussion as well as some relevant clarifications about the methodology used. Considering those comments, and well as our own assessment of the manuscript, we encourage you to resubmit a revised version of your manuscript considering the points raised by the reviewers. Below we also highlight some points that need addressing:

- The introduction is lacking focus and is somewhat repetitive. While the literature on the topic appears to be well covered, it is hard to reconcile it in a coherent story, as it does not have a clear flow. For instance, there are several studies that are cited repeatedly in different paragraphs of the introduction, with apparently redundant purposes. Streamlining the reasoning throughout the introduction will also help in better framing the hypotheses and expectations presented in the end of the introduction.
- The discussion is too long and redundant in several places. The findings of the study must be better synthesized and framed within the literature to convey a clearer overall message to the reader. This is also a point raised by reviewer 2. Our assessment is that this task will be facilitated by providing a better focus of the study in the introduction (see first comment above).
- Carefully consider the comments / questions of reviewer 1 about the methodology used. Providing a supplementary figure with a scheme of the traits and generations analysed will also allow a better overall understanding of the study.
- Carefully revise the language of the manuscript as sometimes the wording is odd, and some sentences are not fully formed. Some other comments: Line 29 – “ Evolution of stress resistance is companied with a metabolic depression”. This is a very bold statement, as there are several experimental studies that do not support such claim some of which you cite in the manuscript (e.g. Djawdan et al 1997, Mallard et al 2018). Lines 82-84 – Why “on the other hand”? the Padfield et al 2016 study appears to corroborate previous findings reported above. It makes more sense to cite studies that present contrasting results such as Djawdan et al 1997, Mallard et al 2018 that do not find such reduction in metabolism. Lines 109-110. Repetitive relative to lines 69-71. Lines 113-117. Please explain better this reasoning, it looks too speculative. For example, in the Porcelli et al (2017)

study the reduction of performance in reproductive traits was a consequence of direct exposure to heat stress, and no evidence was provided for an increased heat resistance.

Lines 358-359 – this is a direct effect of temperature on enzyme activity. It is important to clarify that examples stated below represent an evolutionary response rather than a direct, plastic response.

Line 382 – This recent paper appears to be relevant in this context : Tüzün N, Stoks R. 2022 A

fast pace-of-life is traded off against a high thermal performance. Proc. R. Soc. B 289:20212414 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2414>

Lines 412-413 – this response is likely dependent on the environmental challenges imposed. Also in D. subobscura, Santos et al (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14366> found no short-term adaptive response in populations evolving under a warming environment.

Reviewed by anonymous reviewer 1, 31 March 2022

[Download the review](#)

Reviewed by Marija Savić Veselinović, 03 April 2022

[Download the review](#)