1 **Experimental evolution of virulence and associated traits in a *Drosophila melanogaster* –**

2 ***Wolbachia* symbiosis**

3

4 David Monnin*, Natacha Kremer, Caroline Michaud, Manon Villa, Hélène Henri, Emmanuel

5 Desouhant, Fabrice Vavre

6

7 Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive

8 UMR 5558, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

9

10 * Corresponding author: david.monnin@hotmail.fr (School of Biological and Chemical Sciences,

11 Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK)

12

13 **Abstract**

14   Evolutionary theory predicts that vertically transmitted symbionts are selected for low

15 virulence, as their fitness is directly correlated to that of their host. In contrast with this prediction,

16 the *Wolbachia* strain *w*MelPop drastically reduces its *Drosophila melanogaster* host lifespan at

17 high rearing temperatures. It is generally assumed that this feature is maintained because the

18 *D. melanogaster*–*w*MelPop symbiosis is usually not exposed to environmental conditions in which

19 the symbiont is virulent. To test this hypothesis, we submitted *w*MelPop-infected *D. melanogaster*

20 lines to 17 generations of experimental evolution at a high temperature, while enforcing late

21 reproduction by artificial selection. The fly survival was measured at different time points, as well

22   as two traits that have been proposed to be causally responsible for *w*MelPop virulence: its relative

23   density and the mean number of copies of octomom, an 8-genes region of the *Wolbachia* genome.

24   We hypothesised that these conditions (high temperature and late reproduction) would select for a

25   reduced *w*MelPop virulence, a reduced *w*MelPop density, and a reduced octomom copy number.

26   Our results indicate that density, octomom copy number and virulence are correlated to each other.

27   However, contrary to our expectations, we could not detect any reduction in virulence during the

28   course of evolution. We discuss the significance of our results with respect to the evolutionary

29   causes of *w*MelPop virulence.

30

31   **Introduction**

32   Symbionts live inside (endosymbionts) or on (ectosymbionts) bigger organisms referred to

33   as hosts. As a result, their evolutionary success crucially depends on their ability to colonize, or be

34   transmitted to, new hosts. Deleterious symbionts, also known as parasites, exploit their hosts in a

35   way that maximizes their transmission, but usually face a trade-off between instantaneous

36   transmission rate and opportunities for transmission. Indeed, the reproductive rate of a parasite is

37   likely to be positively correlated to both its instantaneous transmission rate and its virulence (the

38   reduction in fitness it incurs to its host). Increased virulence is in turn associated, notably through

39   increased host mortality, with a reduction in the opportunities for transmission (Anderson & May

40   1982, Ewald 1983, see Alizon et al. 2009 for a review and defence of this hypothesis).

41   Theoretical and experimental works suggest that the mode of transmission – which ranges

42   from fully vertical (from parents to offspring) to fully horizontal (contagion) – is an important

43   factor shaping parasite virulence. The fitness of vertically transmitted symbionts being tightly

44 correlated to that of their hosts, they are expected to be selected for low virulence (Ewald 1983).

45 This reasoning, just like the transmission/virulence trade-off hypothesis, rests solely on the

46 inter-host level of selection (*i.e.*, selection between symbionts infecting different hosts), ignoring

47 the potential effects of intra-host selection (*i.e.*, selection between symbionts infecting the same

48 host). Indeed, the most competitive symbionts within a host may replicate faster and be more

49 virulent than what would be optimal from the standpoint of inter-host selection (Alizon et al. 2013).

50 However, the causal link from vertical transmission to low virulence is well supported by the

51 experimental evolution of parasites following the enforcement of different transmission modes

52 (Bull et al. 1991, Turner et al. 1998, Messenger et al. 1999, Stewart et al. 2005). Accordingly, the

53 most widespread vertically-transmitted symbiont in insects, the intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia*,

54 is often found to be either avirulent (Hoffmann et al. 1994, Giordano et al. 1995, Bourtzis et al.

55 1996, Poinsot & Merçot 1997, Hoffmann et al. 1998) or slightly virulent (Hoffmann et al. 1990,

56 Turelli & Hoffmann 1995, Clancy & Hoffmann 1997).

57 The most striking exception to this pattern is *w*MelPop, a *Wolbachia* strain hosted by

58 *Drosophila melanogaster* that drastically reduces its host lifespan at high rearing temperatures

59 (Min & Benzer 1997). This exceptional virulence raises the question of its causes, both proximal

60 and ultimate. Min & Benzer (1997) suggested that the proximal cause of the virulence of *w*MelPop

61 is its over-replication, which was further supported by subsequent studies (McGraw et al. 2002,

62 Strunov et al. 2013). Furthermore, the repetition of an eight-gene region ("octomom"), has recently

63 been proposed as the genomic basis of *w*MelPop high density and virulence (Chrostek & Teixeira

64 2015). Octomom includes genes encoding proteins potentially involved in DNA replication, repair,

65 recombination, transposition or transcription (Chrostek et al. 2003) and was found to be correlated

66 with *w*MelPop density and virulence (Chrostek & Teixeira 2015). However, both the link between

octomom copy number and density and the link between density and virulence have been called into question. Rohrscheib et al. (2016) indeed found that differences in survival between flies reared at 24°C and 29°C cannot be explained by differences in bacterial density. Similarly, comparing flies of the same age but reared at different temperatures, or of different ages but reared at the same temperature, they found no correlation between octomom copy number and either density or virulence. However, to exclude the possibility that octomom copy number has an effect on density, and density on virulence, the effect of these variables should be assessed independently of temperature and age. The ultimate cause of wMelPop virulence has been assumed to be non-adaptive: as this *Wolbachia* strain is not known to occur in nature but may have originated in the lab, and as flies are typically reared at temperature lower than those at which wMelPop is virulent and reproduce early in the lab, there is no selective pressure for reduced virulence (Reynolds et al. 2003). It was indeed found that wMelPop reduces fly survival at 25°C, but not at 19°C (Reynolds et al. 2003). If this explanation is correct, raising wMelPop-infected flies at a high temperature, while enforcing late reproduction, should select for: (i) a reduced wMelPop virulence, (ii) a reduced wMelPop density (under the assumption that wMelPop virulence at high temperature is due to its high density), and (iii) a reduced number of octomom copies (under the assumption that wMelPop virulence at high temperature is due to its high octomom copy number).

In the present study, we used experimental evolution in conjunction with artificial selection over 17 generations to test these hypotheses, raising wMelPop infected flies at 29°C, and enforcing reproduction eight days after emergence. In addition, in one experimental condition, we fed the flies with paraquat (1,1′dimethyl-4-4′bipyridynium dichloride), a pro-oxidant compound and herbicide that was previously shown to increase the survival of wMelPop-infected flies (while having no effect on the survival of uninfected flies) and to reduce the symbiotic density (Monnin

90   et al. 2016). We hypothesised that this treatment would reduce or cancel the selective pressure for

91   reduced virulence, density, and octomom copy number, resulting in higher values for these

92   parameters, compared to the control condition. The results of these experiments were interpreted

93   in light of our assessment of the relationship between octomom copy number and the age of the

94   host. Despite not being known to occur in nature, the *D. melanogaster-w*MelPop association can

95   help to understand the real-life dynamics of host-*Wolbachia* associations, especially in their early

96   stages. Indeed, one can expect that the low virulence typically observed in *Wolbachia* symbioses

97   is the outcome of a relatively long process of coevolution between the symbiotic partners. Newly

98   formed associations, by contrast, might be more likely to exhibit levels of virulence similar to what

99   is observed in *D. melanogaster-w*MelPop associations. Furthermore, our results contribute to the

100   ongoing debate concerning the relationships between *w*MelPop octomom copy number, density,

101   and virulence (Rohrscheib et al. 2016, Chrostek & Teixeira 2017, Rohrscheib et al. 2017).

102

103   **Material & methods**

104   Biological material

105   We used 17 isofemale lines of *w*MelPop-infected *D. melanogaster*[w1118]. These lines,

106   originated from flies provided by Scott O'Neill (Monash University, Australia), were founded

107   approximately six months before the start of the experiment, and then maintained under controlled

108   rearing conditions at 18°C (12 LD cycle), a temperature at which *w*MelPop is expected to be

109   avirulent (Reynolds et al. (2003) found no effect of the infection on mortality rate at 19°C and no

110   virulence of *w*MelPop was ever detected at a lower temperature). The flies were maintained on

111   standard drosophila medium (David & Clavel 1965).

112

Experimental evolution procedures

The experiment was conducted at 29°C (12 LD cycle), a temperature at which wMelPop is known to be virulent (Min & Benzer 1997, Strunov et al. 2013, Monnin et al. 2016). Emerging flies from the 17 isofemale lines were mixed to constitute the founding generation G0 and were allowed to lay eggs. Twenty experimental lines were then constituted, each originating from 100 randomly-picked eggs that were deposited in vials containing 1.5 g of *Drosophila* medium. The experimental lines thus constituted were split into 10 "paraquat lines", in which larvae developed on drosophila medium supplemented with 150 µL of a 10 mM paraquat (1,1′dimethyl-4-4′bipyridynium dichloride) solution (as described in Monnin et al. 2016), and 10 "water lines", in which the paraquat was replaced by 150 µL of water. The G1 flies emerging from each vial were then allowed to lay their eggs on unsupplemented medium for eight days (the medium was not supplemented with paraquat or water, as this would have been a confounding factor for the subsequent measurements). The medium was changed regularly, including at the end of day seven, and the eggs already laid on it were therefore removed. For each experimental line, a subset (n=100) of the eggs laid on the eighth day following emergence was deposited in new vial similar to those in which the parents developed – *i.e.*, paraquat-supplemented medium for the paraquat lines and water-supplemented medium for the water lines. The protocol was repeated for the emerging G2 flies, and again until G17. The evolution of wMelPop virulence, density and mean octomom copy number was measured as follow: in each line, eggs laid seven days after emergence were collected at G2, G3, G9, G12 and G17 and allowed to develop on unsupplemented medium. Then, emerging females were used either to assess their survival, or their *Wolbachia* density and mean octomom copy number (fig. 1). These traits were not measured at every single generation, as

135 <mark>we do not expect the changes from one generation to the next to be so great as to justify measuring</mark>

136 <mark>all traits at each generation.</mark>

137

138 **Figure 1.** Summary diagram of the experimental evolution experiment, showing the generations (G0-G17) at which
139 the different measurements were performed.

140

141   Though we chose – based on preliminary observations – the eight days period to enforce

142 late reproduction while reducing the risk of line extinction, several lines nevertheless went extinct

143 before the end of the experiment, as a result of lack of egg <mark>laying</mark>. Three control lines and two

144 paraquat lines went extinct between G3 and G9, four control lines and one paraquat line between

145 G9 and G12, and one control line and two paraquat lines between G12 and G17.

146

147 <u>Survival assays</u>

148   Fly survival was measured, as a proxy for wMelPop virulence, at G3 and G9. At emergence,

149 15 females from each experimental line were dispatched into three vials containing

150 sugar-supplemented agar (10% sugar). The surviving flies were then counted every day. The line

151 extinction rate <mark>– the ratio of lines that went extinct over the total number of lines – was calculated</mark>

152 <mark>for both the water and the paraquat lines, both during the 8 generations prior to G9 and during the</mark>

7

153 9 subsequent generations. It serves as another proxy for *w*MelPop virulence. We used Fisher's

154 exact tests to assess differences in extinction rates.

155

156 <u>DNA extraction</u>

157 The *w*MelPop density and mean octomom copy number were measured at G2, G9, G12 and

158 G17. For each generation and line, four of the emerging females were transferred on

159 sugar-supplemented agar (10% sugar), to be collected four days later and then kept at -80°C.

160 Because of technical issues during DNA extraction or qPCR, the final number of replicates varies

161 from 2 to 4 (mean number > 3.8). The DNA extractions were performed using the 96 wells Biobasic

162 EZ-10 BS4372 kit. The entire flies were mechanically crushed for 30 s at 25 Hz using a 5-mm

163 stainless steel bead in a TissueLyser (Qiagen). Three hundred µL of ACL solution and 20 µL of

164 16 g.L^{-1} proteinase K were then added to the samples. Following an overnight incubation at 56°C,

165 300 µL of AB solution was added. The samples were then transferred on the plate from the kit, and

166 the purification was performed following the instructions of the manufacturer. The DNA was eluted

167 in 100 µL of elution buffer and stored at -20°C.

168

169 <u>Quantitative PCR</u>

170 Both relative *w*MelPop density and mean octomom copy number were measured in each

171 DNA extract by quantitative PCR, conforming to the Minimum Information for Publication of

172 Quantitative real-time PCR Experiments (MIQE) guidelines (Bustin et al. 2009). The relative

173 *w*MelPop density was quantified by amplifying two monocopy genes: one *Wolbachia* gene

174 (*wd0505*) and one *D. melanogaster* gene (*rp49*). The mean octomom copy number was quantified

by amplifying two *Wolbachia* genes: one that is part of the octomom region (*wd0513*), and one that

is not (*wd0505*). The primers used to amplify *rp49* were as follow: *rp49dd*-F: 5'-CTG-CCC-ACC-

GGA-TTC-AAG-3', *rp49dd*-R: 5'-CGA-TCT-CGC-CGC-AGT-AAA-C-3'. The primers used to

amplify the *wd0505* and *wd0513* genes were published in Chrostek et al. (2013). The primers were

synthetized by Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium). qPCR mixes consisted of 5 µL of Sso Advanced

SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA), 1 µL of water, 0.5 µL of each primer

(10 mM), and 3 µL of DNA samples diluted at 1/10. The reaction conditions for amplification were

95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 59°C for 10 s and 68°C for 15 s. A melting

curve was recorded from 70°C to 95°C to ensure the specific amplification of the transcript.

Amplification and detection of DNA by quantitative PCR were performed with CFX96 instrument

(Bio-Rad). Standard curves were plotted using seven dilutions (10^2 to 10^7 copies) of a previously

amplified PCR product that had been purified using the kit Nucleospin extract II (Machery-Nagel).

Primer sets exhibited mean efficiencies of 93.2% ± 1.2 for *rp49dd*, 91.0% ± 0.7 for *wd0505,* and

92.7% ± 0.6 for *wd0513*. Two technical replicates per biological replicate were used for the

determination of DNA starting quantities. As the deviations between these duplicates were below

0.5 cycles, the mean Cp values were calculated. As PCR efficiency were close to 100%, we

calculated the relative *w*MelPop density and the mean octomom copy number using the following

formulas: $2^{Cp\_rp49-Cp\_wd0505}$ and $2^{Cp\_wd0505-Cp\_wd0513}$, respectively.

Relationship between mean octomom copy number and the age of the host

To evaluate the possibility that intra-host selection is involved in the evolution of *w*MelPop

octomom copy number, we assessed the relationship between the mean octomom copy number and

the age of the host. To do so, we performed qPCR as described above on samples obtained in the

198   course of a previously published experiment (Monnin et al. 2016). Briefly, *w*MelPop-infected

199   *D. melanogaster*[w1118] were raised until emergence on standard drosophila medium supplemented

200   with water or paraquat (as described above). Emerging females were either immediately collected

201   and kept at -80°C (1 fly per treatment) or transferred on sugar-supplemented agar (10% sugar) to

202   be collected at days 7, 14 and 21 of adulthood (four flies per day and per treatment). DNA

203   extractions were performed as described above.

204

205   <u>Statistical analyses</u>

206   We used the R software (version 3.3.2) for all analyses (R Core Team 2016).

207   We tested for evolution in survival between G3 and G9 using a mixed generalized linear

208   model with a gamma distribution (in the absence of censored data, a survival model is not

209   necessary, and the gamma distribution is suitable for continuous positive data). Individual

210   longevity was used as the response variable. Generation (G3 or G9) and treatment (water or

211   paraquat) were treated in the model as fixed factors, while the line and vial factors were included

212   as random effects.

213   The evolution of density and mean octomom copy number was tested using mixed linear

214   models, with the generation included as a fixed quantitative variable, the treatment as a fixed

215   qualitative variable, and the line factor included as a random effect. Normality and

216   homoscedasticity were checked graphically for both models.

217   The relationship between *Wolbachia* density and survival at G9 was tested by fitting a linear

218   model with median longevity as the response variable, mean density as a quantitative explanatory

219   variable, and the treatment (water or paraquat) as an explanatory factor.

10

220   The relationship between density and mean octomom copy number was tested by fitting a

221   mixed linear model with density as the response variable, mean octomom copy number as a fixed

222   quantitative explanatory variable, and generation, line and treatment as random effects.

223   The relationship between age and mean octomom copy number was tested by fitting a linear

224   model with mean octomom copy number as the response variable, age as a quantitative explanatory

225   variable and treatment as a qualitative explanatory variable.

226

Results & discussion

<u>Test of the predictions</u>

229   We followed the evolution of wMelPop virulence, density, and octomom copy number at a

230   high temperature while enforcing late reproduction. Our first prediction was that during the

231   experimental evolution, wMelPop virulence would have decreased in the ==water== lines, and less so,

232   or not at all, in the paraquat lines. To test this, we compared fly survival at G3 and G9. ==We can be==

233   ==confident that fly mortality is induced by wMelPop, as the strong reduction of host longevity==

234   ==induced by wMelPop has been established previously. A study performed in our lab (Monnin et al.==

235   ==2016), using the same *D. melanogaster* line and the same survival protocol, found a large mean==

236   ==difference in longevity (4.4±0.66 days) between wMelPop-infected and uninfected individuals.==

237   Survival data at G3 confirmed that mortality began before the eighth day of the adult life of

238   the flies (fig. 2a), suggesting that the late reproduction we enforced should have induced a selective

239   pressure for decreased wMelPop virulence, at least in the ==water== lines. In the paraquat lines, we

240   expected a lesser reduction, or no reduction at all, in virulence.

Neither the interaction between generation and treatment (χ^2_1=3.23, p=0.07) nor the treatment effect (χ^2_1=0.38, p=0.54) was statistically significant, suggesting that the paraquat treatment did not modify the evolution of survival, as compared to the water condition. Furthermore, there was no effect of the generation factor, indicating that survival did not increase between G3 and G9 (χ^2_1=0.98, p=0.32; fig. 2b; median longevity at G3 in the control lines: 11 days; at G9 in the control lines: 10.5 days; at G3 in the paraquat lines: 10 days; at G9 in the paraquat lines: 11 days). As the survival was last assessed at G9, we cannot directly test whether it evolved between G9 and G17. Given that an increased survival would presumably lead to a decreased probability of extinction, we compared the rates of line extinction per generation before and after G9. In the water condition, it was 0.038 before G9 (3 out of 10 lines went extinct at some point during these eight generations), and 0.079 after G9 (5 out of 7 lines went extinct at some point during these nine generations), showing that no increase in extinction rate occurred during the experimental evolution, even after G9. Likewise, in the paraquat condition, the rate of line extinction per generation was 0.025 before G9 (2 out of 10 lines went extinct at some point during these eight generations), and 0.042 after G9 (3 out of 8 lines went extinct at some point during these nine generations). Differences in extinction rate between the early and late generations were not significant (Fisher's exact tests, p=0.15 in the water lines, p=0.61 in the paraquat lines, p=0.16 overall).

Taken together, these survival and extinction rates suggest the contrary to our prediction, the virulence of *w*MelPop did not decrease during the experiment and was not differentially affected by the treatment (paraquat or water).

Figure 2. Evolution of fly survival. (a) Survival of female flies in the water condition at G3. Dotted lines represent the 95 % confidence interval. The red vertical line shows the age (8 days) at which reproduction was enforced during the experimental evolution. The corresponding figure for the paraquat condition is provided as supplementary material (b) Mean longevity (± standard error) in all lines in the paraquat (orange) and water (black) conditions, at generations 3 and 9.

The discrepancy between the first prediction and the results invalidates the next two predictions, as the expected reduction in *Wolbachia* density was supposed to causally explain the expected reduction in virulence, and the expected reduction in mean octomom copy number was supposed to causally explain the expected reduction in density. Accordingly, we observed neither a decrease in density nor in mean octomom copy number during the experimental evolution. On the contrary, both density (χ^2_1=27.84, p<0.001; fig. 3a) and mean octomom copy number (χ^2_1=16.95, p<0.001; fig. 3b) increased (mean density in the water lines at G2: 11.73; G9: 26.33; G12: 21.05; G17: 20.50; in the paraquat lines at G2: 13.05; G9: 12.74; G12: 18.59; G17: 33.23; mean octomom copy number in the water lines at G2: 2.50; G9: 3.45; G12: 2.66; G17: 3.43; in the paraquat lines at G2: 2.84; G9: 2.67; G12: 4.27; G17: 3.97). Neither the interactions between generation and treatment (density: χ^2_1=0.52, p=0.47; octomom copy number: χ^2_1=1.21, p=0.27) nor

13

280   the treatment effects (density: $\chi^2_1=0.44$, p=0.51; octomom copy number: $\chi^2_1=0.44$, p=0.51) were

281   statistically significant.

283   **Figure 3.** Evolution of *Wolbachia* density and octomom copy number. (a) Mean *Wolbachia* relative density (± standard
284   error) in all lines in the paraquat (orange) and water (black) conditions, at generations 2, 9, 12 and 17. (b) Mean
285   octomom copy number (± standard error) in all lines in the paraquat (orange) and control (black) conditions, at
286   generations 2, 9, 12 and 17.

Potential explanations for the falsification of the predictions

289   The falsification of our predictions is especially puzzling, as our results show that both

290   density and octomom copy number evolved, suggesting that it is not by lack of time or heritable

291   variability that the *D. melanogaster–w*MelPop interaction did not evolve in the expected ways.

292   As some lines went extinct before the end of the experiment, our statistical analyses may

293   have been biased if those extinctions were not random. However, it is unlikely to explain why our

294   predictions were not vindicated. Indeed, although a reduction in virulence could have been

295   concealed by the preferential extinction of lines exhibiting low virulence, this pattern is the

14

opposite of what we would expect. Furthermore, the observed increase in relative *Wolbachia* density and mean octomom copy number is not likely to be due to a mere increase in genetic similarity: at G2, no measured fly had a relative density superior to 40, contrary to 21% of the G17 flies (the maximum being 143); similarly, no flies at G2 had a mean octomom copy number over 6, contrary to 18% of the flies measured at G17 (the maximum being 12). Finally, we did not vary the experimental temperature – a factor recently shown to impact on the evolution of *D. melanogaster*-*Wolbachia* associations by Mazzucco et al. (2020) – and used only one host genetic background (*D. melanogaster*[w1118]) and one *Wolbachia* strain (*w*MelPop). We cannot exclude the possibility that different conditions would have led to different coevolutionary outcomes.

Among our starting assumptions was that the virulence of *w*MelPop is due to its high density, which in turn is due to its high octomom copy number. It is therefore noteworthy that both assumptions were recently called into question (Rohrscheib et al. 2016; see also Chroskek & Teixeira 2017, Rohrscheib et al. 2017). If, as claimed by Rohrscheib et al. (2016), the virulence of *w*MelPop is independent of its density and octomom copy number, our second and third predictions do not hold. Although this would not explain why virulence did not decrease during the experimental evolution, it would remove our puzzlement regarding the increase in *Wolbachia* density and octomom copy number. However, our results do not support Rohrscheib et al. (2016) findings. First, *w*MelPop density and fly survival were negatively related at G9, the only generation at which both survival and density data were collected ($F_{1,18}=5.25$, p=0.04; fig. 4a). Second, *w*MelPop density and mean octomom copy number were found to be positively related ($\chi^2_1=116.31$, p<0.001; fig. 4b).

318

Figure 4. (a) Correlation between *Wolbachia* density and fly survival. Each circle represents a line in the paraquat (orange) or water (black) condition, at G9. The black line represents the regression of median longevity on mean density (data for both conditions are pooled, as the treatment effect was found not to be statistically significant). Statistics from Pearson's correlation test are indicated. (b) Correlation between *Wolbachia* density and octomom copy number. Each symbol represents an individual fly, from the paraquat (orange) or water (black) condition, at G2 (squares), G9 (circles), G12 (triangles), or G17 (crosses). The black line represents the regression of density on octomom copy number. Statistics from Pearson's correlation test, with all conditions pooled together, are indicated.

It is therefore more likely that our assessment of the evolutionary forces at play, rather than

our assumptions regarding the proximal causes of *w*MelPop virulence, was mistaken. The increase,

over time, in both density and octomom copy number, appears to be consistent with two

non-mutually exclusive hypotheses. First, this pattern could be explained by the relaxation of an

unknown selective pressure maintaining a low octomom copy number in flies kept at relatively low

temperatures without enforcement of late reproduction, perhaps amplified by a mutational bias

favouring a high octomom copy number. As each new generation of experimental evolution was

started with only 100 eggs, genetic drift could have been increased by the experimental

evolution/selection protocol, possibly limiting the efficiency of natural selection. However, it

remains difficult to see why the selective pressure in favour of a low octomom copy number should

be weaker in the experimental evolution setting than in the maintenance conditions, as our current

16

knowledge of *w*MelPop suggests that the opposite is true: in the absence of *w*MelPop-induced mortality in the maintenance conditions, the octomom copy number is not expected to be under selection.

A second explanation for the observed pattern is that it results from directional selection in favour of high density, high octomom copy number variants, more or less constrained, in each line, by the amount of available heritable variation. Other things being equal, flies harbouring low density, low octomom copy number should be longer-lived and therefore have more opportunities to transmit their symbionts to their offspring than their counterparts infected by high density, high octomom copy number variants. As a result, inter-host selection is expected to favour *w*Melpop variants that do not reach densities so high that they compromise the fitness of their host. However, intra-host selection is also likely to affect the evolution of virulence (Alizon et al. 2013). Indeed, one can expect high density, high octomom copy number variants to replicate more rapidly than (and therefore outnumber) their low density, low octomom copy number counterparts, which will make them more likely to be transmitted to their host offspring. Crucially, the competitive advantage of rapidly-replicating variants will increase with host age, as it is positively correlated with the number of *w*MelPop generations. (At 29°C, the doubling time of *w*MelPop was estimated by Duarte et al. (preprint) to vary from 0.88 to 1.38 days.) 'Late reproduction' may therefore allow intra-host selection to be more powerful than inter-host selection. This is especially likely given that survival was still high at eight days (fig. 2a), suggesting that inter-host selection may have been relatively weak. Chrostek & Teixeira (2018) provide evidence for such intra-host selection by showing that the mean octomom copy number increases as flies age and reach a plateau by day eight of adulthood. Our own results confirm the increase ($F_{1,24}=25.64$, p<0.001), but no plateau was observed (fig. 5). These results show that intra-host variability can exist, and therefore allow

for intra-host selection, despite the bottleneck experienced by vertically transmitted symbionts

362 (estimated to range from 850 to 8000 cells in aphid-*Buchnera* symbioses (Mira & Moran 2002)).

363

Figure 5. Relationship between the age of the fly and the octomom copy number of *w*MelPop. Data pooled from two
treatments (paraquat and water), as neither the interaction between age and treatment ($F_{1,22}=2.79$, $p=0.11$) nor the
treatment effect ($F_{1,23}=0.14$, $p=0.72$) were significant.

367

368   More research is needed to determine the evolutionary consequences of this intra-host

369 dynamic. Rapid evolution of octomom copy number in *w*MelPop suggests that intra-host selection

370 could play an important role in the evolution of vertically transmitted symbiont and may contribute

371 to explain the persistence of relatively virulent *Wolbachia* strains in nature.

372   Taken together, our results show that *Wolbachia* traits associated with virulence can evolve

373 rapidly following a switch in selection regime. These evolutionary changes challenge common

374 assumptions on the evolution of virulence in vertically transmitted symbionts, as traits positively

375 correlated with virulence were found to evolve. This could indicate that the intra-host level of

18

selection plays a significant, yet underexplored, role in shaping associations with vertically transmitted symbionts.

Data availability

Raw data, scripts and additional figure are available online:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4065517

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors of this article declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with the content of this article. NK & FV are PCIEvolBiol recommenders.

References

395 Alizon S, de Roode JC & Michalakis Y (2013) Multiple infections and the evolution of virulence.

396   *Ecol. Lett.* **16:** 556–567

397 Alizon S, Hurford A, Mideo N & Van Baalen M (2009) Virulence evolution and the trade-off

398   hypothesis: History, current state of affairs and the future. *J. Evol. Biol.* **22:** 245–259

399 Anderson RM & May RM (1982) Coevolution of hosts and parasites. *Parasitology* **85:** 411

400 Bourtzis K, Nirgianaki A, Markakis G & Savakis C (1996) *Wolbachia* infection and cytoplasmic

401   incompatibility in *Drosophila* species. *Genetics* **144:** 1063–73

402 Bull JJ, Molineux IJ & Rice WR (1991) Selection of Benevolence in a Host-Parasite System.

403   *Evolution* **45:** 875–882

404 Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Mueller R, Nolan T, Pfaffl

405   MW, Shipley GL & Vandesompele J (2009). The MIQE guidelines: minimum information

406   for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clinical chemistry* **55**, 611-622.

407 Chrostek E, Marialva MSP, Esteves SS, Weinert L a, Martinez J, Jiggins FM & Teixeira L (2013)

408   *Wolbachia* variants induce differential protection to viruses in *Drosophila melanogaster*: a

409   phenotypic and phylogenomic analysis. *PLoS Genet.* **9:** e1003896

410 Chrostek E & Teixeira L (2015) Mutualism Breakdown by Amplification of *Wolbachia* Genes.

411   *PLOS Biol.* **13:** e1002065

412 Chrostek E & Teixeira L (2017) Comment on Rohrscheib et al. 2016 'Intensity of mutualism

413   breakdown is determined by temperature not amplification of *Wolbachia* genes'. *PLoS*

414   *Pathog.* **13:** e1006540

Chrostek E & Teixeira L (2018) Within host selection for faster replicating bacterial symbionts. *PLoS ONE* **13**: e0191530.

Clancy DJ & Hoffmann AA (1997) Behavior of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts from *Drosophila simulans* in *Drosophila serrata*, a novel host. *Am. Nat.* **149:** 975–988

David JR & Clavel MF (1965) Interaction entre le génotype et le milieu d'élevage. Conséquences sur les caractéristiques du développement de la Drosophile. *Bull. Biol. Fr. Belg.* **99:** 369–378

Duarte EH, Carvalho A, Lopez-Madrigal S & Teixeira L (2020) Regulation of *Wolbachia* proliferation by the amplification and deletion of an addictive genomic island. *bioRxiv* (https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.08.288217)

Ewald PW (1983) Host-parasites relations, vectors, and the evolution of disease severity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **14:** 465–485

Giordano R, O'Neill SL & Robertson HM (1995) *Wolbachia* infections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila sechellia* and *D. mauritiana*. *Genetics* **140:** 1307–1317

Hoffmann AA, Clancy DJ & Merton E (1994) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in Australian populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **136:** 993–999

Hoffmann AA, Turelli M & Harshman LG (1990) Factors affecting the distribution of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **126:** 933–948

Hoffmann AA, Hercus M & Dagher H (1998) Population dynamics of the *Wolbachia* infection causing cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **148:** 221–231

435 Mazzucco R, Nolte V, Vijayan T & Schlötterer C (2020) Long-Term Dynamics Among *Wolbachia*

436 Strains During Thermal Adaptation of Their *Drosophila melanogaster* Hosts. *Frontiers in*

437 *Genetics* **11**: 482.

438 McGraw E a, Merritt DJ, Droller JN & O'Neill SL (2002) *Wolbachia* density and virulence

439 attenuation after transfer into a novel host. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99:** 2918–23

440 Messenger SL, Molineux IJ & Bull JJ (1999) Virulence evolution in a virus obeys a trade-off. *Proc.*

441 *R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **266:** 397–404

442 Min KT & Benzer S (1997) *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of Drosophila, can be virulent,

443 causing degeneration and early death. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **94:** 10792–6

444 Mira A & Moran NA (2002) Estimating population size and transmission bottlenecks in maternally

445 transmitted endosymbiotic bacteria. *Microbial Ecology* **44:** 137-143.

446 Monnin D, Kremer N, Berny C, Henri H, Dumet A, Voituron Y, Desouhant E & Vavre F (2016)

447 Influence of oxidative homeostasis on bacterial density and cost of infection in *Drosophila–*

448 *Wolbachia* symbioses. *J. Evol. Biol.* **29:** 1211–1222

449 Poinsot D & Merçot H (2014) *Wolbachia* infection in *Drosophila simulans*: does the female host

450 bear a physiological cost? *Evolution* **51:** 180–186

451 R Core Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

452 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

453   Reynolds KT, Thomson LJ & Hoffmann AA (2003) The Effects of Host Age, Host Nuclear

454   Background and Temperature on Phenotypic Effects of the Virulent *Wolbachia* Strain

455   popcorn in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **1034:** 1027–1034

456   Rohrscheib CE, Frentiu FD, Horn E, Ritchie FK, van Swinderen B, Weible MW, O'Neill SL &

457   Brownlie JC (2017) Response to: Comment on Rohrscheib et al. 2016 'Intensity of mutualism

458   breakdown is determined by temperature not amplification of *Wolbachia* genes'. *PLOS*

459   *Pathog.* **13:** e1006521

460   Rohrscheib CE, Frentiu FD, Horn E, Ritchie FK, van Swinderen B, Weible MW, O'Neill SL &

461   Brownlie JC (2016) Intensity of Mutualism Breakdown Is Determined by Temperature Not

462   Amplification of *Wolbachia* Genes. *PLoS Pathog.* **12:** 1–15

463   Stewart AD, Logsdon Jr. JM & Kelley SE (2005) An empirical study of the evolution of virulence

464   under both horizontal and vertical transmission. *Evolution* **59:** 730–739

465   Strunov A, Kiseleva E & Gottlieb Y (2013) Spatial and temporal distribution of pathogenic

466   *Wolbachia* strain *w*MelPop in *Drosophila melanogaster* central nervous system under

467   different temperature conditions. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **114:** 22–30

468   Turelli M & Hoffmann AA (1995) Cytoplasmic Incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: Dynamics

469   and Parameter Estimates from Natural Populations. *Genetics* **140:** 1319–1338

470   Turner P, Cooper V & Lenski R (1998) Tradeoff between horizontal and vertical modes of

471   transmission in bacterial plasmids. *Evolution* **52:** 315–329